Exhibit 18

Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822

Paper 55 Entered: October 12, 2022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. & APPLE, INC., Petitioner

V.

NEONODE SMARTPHONE LLC, Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00144 Patent 8,095,879 B2

Record of Oral Hearing Held: September 6, 2022

Before KARA L. SZPONDOWSKI, CHRISTOPHER L. OGDEN, and SCOTT B. HOWARD, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

KARL RENNER, ESQ. DAVID HOLT, ESQ. Fish & Richardson axf-ptab@fr.com holt2@fr.com

TIFFANY MILLER, ESQ. DLA Piper tiffany.miller@dlapiper.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

NATHAN LOWENSTEIN, ESQ.
KENNETH WEATHERWAX, ESQ.
PARHAM HENDIFAR, ESQ.
ROBERT PISTONE, ESQ.
Lowenstein & Weatherwax, LLP
lowenstein@lowensteinwatherwax.com
weatherwax@lowensteinweatherwax.com
hendifar@lowensteinweatherwax.com
pistone@lowensteinweatherwax.com

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing Tuesday, September 6, 2022, commencing at 1:00 p.m. EDT, via Videoconference.

1	JUDGE HOWARD: But at the end of the day even that amendment
2	wasn't enough. It wasn't until the examiner issued his examiner's amendment
3	at the end ending in the last wherein clause that the claims got allowed.
4	You see, I'm so I'm trying to figure out is gliding just that last
5	wherein clause? Is that the difference?

MR. LOWENSTEIN: I don't think so.

JUDGE HOWARD: Or is it just there's nothing that you could actually do to describe the difference to me?

MR. LOWENSTEIN: It's a seamless, effortless movement, gliding away like a figure skater. It's not dragging something. And I think if we all think back to the style of the old 1990s, I'm trying to drag the icon get it into the right space, that's not the same sort of movement.

That's why in prosecution we showed the examiner the video. And we encourage Your Honors to please watch the video because it will tell you as it told the examiners precisely what sort of seamless, effortless movement we are talking about as opposed to the drag. Did I select the icon? Did I move it to the precise location? Because that's the difference between an iPhone and, you know, some reference like Hirayama. It's all about a seamless user interface.

That's what was getting praised. And so if you overlook that distinction we just assume that a dragging, drag, drag, drag, drag, drag in Hirayama, a user can only -- can only activate what you activate by dragging the pen. That's what Hirayama says.

JUDGE HOWARD: Well, I think at the time you were, the applicant was trying to distinguish over Hoshino. How does Hoshino describe its motion?

MR. LOWENSTEIN:	Okay. So -	
-----------------	------------	--

JUDGE HOWARD: And Petitioner showed where you, in fact, I'm looking now at Page 170 in the file history, where you've offered a thing where you've described Hoshino as glide and that the difference seemed to be the argument that you were making is does the glide become before activation or after activation, not that it was a glide was the distinguishing point.

MR. LOWENSTEIN: May I defer the question on Hoshino to my colleague Mr. Hendifar because he is going to address the disclaimer? And we will address that just momentarily, Your Honor, so just bear with me. I will try to get through my slides very quickly and then I'll turn it over to Mr. Hendifar who will ask -- answer that question, if I may?

So let's go to Slide 23. We can skip 23. Let's go to 24. Again, you can't just assume dragging and gliding are the same thing. They have to prove it. They have not.

They have, Slide 25, no claim construction of gliding away. They have no claim construction of gliding away. They say dragging is gliding but they don't say why. They don't offer you a claim construction.

And let's go to Slide 26. We asked Dr. Bederson through his reply declaration, question, "What is the difference between the plain meaning of gliding a pen opposed to dragging the pen? Answer, "I don't recall performing an analysis distinguishing between any possible difference in the meanings of the terms gliding and dragging in my reports."

They have the burden of proof. They must prove to you that dragging in Hirayama is gliding. They did not explain why in their petition. They did

not grapple with the fact that the only movement disclosed in Hirayama 307 is dragging. That's the only way.

They did not offer you a claim construction. And when we asked their expert in deposition is there any reason why these are not the same thing? He said I didn't do any analysis. That's a complete failure of proof. I think they lose the case right now.

JUDGE HOWARD: Isn't it possible, sorry. This is Judge Howard again. Isn't it possible he's saying I didn't do anything to distinguish them because they're the same?

MR. LOWENSTEIN: Well --

JUDGE HOWARD: That's just, sort of, one way of reading what he just said.

MR. LOWENSTEIN: Well but go back to their petition. Let's see what they say. Gliding away, drags with -- why is it dragging away? We're not going to tell you.

Well, what exactly is making that in Hirayama.

JUDGE HOWARD: Again, you're looking at one part of what they said in their petition and then you don't read the rest. You read everything it says, it says Hirayama 307 further discloses quote, "then if the user moves, i.e., drags the point of pen three to the display position on the surface of the input tablet without being separated therefrom and having touched the desired icon 41 with the point of the pen three and takes the point of the pen three off from the surface of the input tablet two." And it goes on from there.

So they've described more than dragging. They've described putting the stylus down, moving it to the location that you want it and picking it up. They described the motion. They said that's a glide.

1	Now, it's up for us to go and determine whether or not that is a glide
2	or not, but isn't it a little disingenuous to say they haven't done anything
3	besides just say drag?
4	MR. LOWENSTEIN: No. Oh, okay. So whatever they say, Hirayama
5	is clear about what it says because it says drag, drag, drag, drag, drag, 14
6	times. I don't care they say because that's what Hirayama discloses.
7	JUDGE HOWARD: But that wasn't, again, that's not what they said.
8	They were quoting Hirayama. It's describing the movement, the drag, as a
9	movement without the stylus being separated.
0	Now, it's for us as the judges to go determine whether or not that's a
1	glide, but they have done more than just say drag.
12	MR. LOWENSTEIN: Okay. Let me address that, and I touched upon
13	it a bit, but if we go to 27, I think they moved in their reply to more of a
4	movement, any movement is glide.
15	Well, first of all, why would that be? Why do we would have just
16	said movement if we meant any movement. We said gliding away
17	specifically.
18	Now, we talked about this a bit, but Slide 28 the claims originally said
19	moving from to. Slide 29, we said, no. Please watch the video. That's what
20	we're talking about. Pease watch video. That's, sort of, that's what we're
21	trying to get here.
22	Slide 30 the examiner said and when I did the video I can see the
23	difference. But your limitations are too broad.
24	Slide 31, we change moving from to to gliding away. So that's what
25	we're talking about gliding away, not any movement.

Slide 32, the Federal Circuit says, quote, "[W]hen a word is changed during prosecution, [like moving from to to gliding away,] the change tends to suggest that the new word differs in meaning in some way from the original word."

So ---

JUDGE OGDEN: Mr. Lowenstein, my question is, are you trying to make a distinction in order to say that in a drag-and-drop operation you're saying that the beginning and ending point is significant, whereas in a glide operation the endpoint is not significant?

MR. LOWENSTEIN: That's an aspect of it. I think first and foremost I'm arguing a failure of proof, but I think you're making a point, Judge Ogden, that my colleague Mr. Hendifar will touch upon which is a major distinction between Hirayama 307 and just a simple glide as you're selecting an icon then you're moving it to a precise location.

And I do think that is a distinction because it makes it, it's hard to say that's a seamless glide where you're selecting icon then you're moving to a precise location. So I don't want to steal his thunder, but I do believe that's a point we're going to address as well.

So Slide 33, additionally we equated the touch and glide thumb movement to swiping so I think that's another touchstone of what it is we're talking about. So we showed the examiner the video. I really do encourage, it sounds like you've all watched it anyways but I encourage you to just watch it again.

We equated it with swiping. And again, I would submit to Your Honors that there's a fundamental failure of proof as we, sort of, sum up in

Slide 35. And I'm aware of my time, so unless there are further questions for me, I will turn it over to my colleague Mr. Hendifar.

MR. HENDIFAR: Good afternoon, Your Honors. Parham Hendifar for Patent Owner. And I want to follow up on where Your Honor asked a question about if the glide and the drag is really about what the screen shows or whether there is an actual difference in the movement.

And it has in our position two sides. One is that first Petitioner has to show when it's relying on plain and ordinary meaning why is the plain and order meaning of glide is the same as a drag? And Mr. Lowenstein pointed out that they haven't shown and on that basis alone Your Honors don't actually need to construe a glide and drag.

The point of the Petitioner should be Petitioner uses two different words where which they have different plain and ordinary meanings and they haven't shown why they're the same. And that's the end of the inquiry.

But if you want to go further, the difference between a glide and a drag is not just what is shown on the screen but is in the nature of the movement. So if you go to Slide 33, the applicant during prosecution, it's what we go because some things are not really easy to explain in words, such as what is a swipe. And if you would go back to 2002, which is when the patent was filed, many people may not have known what a swipe is.

Today you have swipe left, swipe right, all the stuff. But in 2002, if you recall, you had the Nokia phones with the keypad. You didn't have swipe phones really so it may have been hard to describe it, but we showed the examiner through a video and we actually just said that the gliding can be the slide or a swipe.

So and I submit that a person of ordinary skill wouldn't think that a swipe motion of a finger is the same as a dragging motion. A dragging is much more intensive, harder and laborious, whereas a glide is much more seamless and effortless.

So it's not really just about what's shown on the screen but it's also about the nature of a movement. And again, the applicant said the gliding is a swipe, so I request that you would just consult is a swipe the same as a drag? It's really not.

Now, we didn't have to actually provide a construction per se because that's not our burden, but even based on a plain and ordinary meaning of swipe or a glide, which is anonymous based on what the applicant said, are not really a dragging motion regardless of what may or may not be shown on the screen. Does that answer Your Honors questions on this point?

JUDGE HOWARD: This is Judge Howard. I guess it doesn't answer mine because I still don't know how to look at the description of the actual movement in the reference and determine whether it's a slider or a glide or not.

MR. HENDIFAR: Then may I submit --

JUDGE HOWARD: And in that you're trying to destroy distinction between a glide and a drag.

MR. LOWENSTEIN: Let me just say, Your Honor, briefly if you can't tell, if the record doesn't allow you to tell that should mean that they lose because they --

JUDGE HOWARD: Yeah. Well, it could just be that they mean the same thing, that the description of the glide that is a movement of something along the touchscreen is a glide. That seems like the plain and ordinary

1	meaning. I mean, you're telling me that's a drag and I'm trying to figure out,
2	well, how is that not a glide?
3	MR. HENDIFAR: Let me just
4	JUDGE HOWARD: They've described a motion. The motion, you
5	know, will determine whether or not it is, but it's something that at least
6	plausibly could be implied. If you're telling me that there's some distinction
7	can look at to determine whether based on the prosecution history that, no,
8	glides aren't meant to cover drags and this is what a drag is so you could tell
9	the difference, then that would be, you know, potentially useful.
10	If there's not a specific difference between the two then they're
11	synonymous, which is helpful in a different way.
12	MR. HENDIFAR: So let me then approach it differently, Your Honor
13	Judge Howard you mentioned that look at the petition. They say dragging
14	discloses gliding. It can be two things.
15	One is that they're both the same thing because they are movements,
16	or two, they're different thing but the petition fails to show what the
17	distinction is and they fail on the basis of failure to prove.
18	Now, we can eliminate conclusively the first possibility which is
19	simply because glide and a drag are both movements then they are the same
20	thing. We can conclusively eliminate that.
21	So then we are left with Petitioners failing to show what the
22	distinction is. And the way we can conclusively eliminate
23	JUDGE HOWARD: Conclusively distinguished it. I might see that if
24	there's a difference. I'm just trying to understand what the difference is.
25	MR. HENDIFAR: There is.

Case 6:20-cv-00507-ADA Document 81-20 Filed 05/19/23 Page 12 of 13

IPR2021-00144 Patent 8,095,879 B2

But going to Slide 40, the Federal Circuit has held that there is no support -- no support for the proposition that a prosecution disclaimer applies only when applicants attempt to overcome a claim rejection. Rather, any statement that the applicant makes to characterize the invention may give rise to prosecution disclaimer.

Going to Slide 41, the --

JUDGE OGDEN: Counsel, Mr. Hendifar, just to let you know, you've got about five minutes in your initial time.

MR. HENDIFAR: Yes.

JUDGE HOWARD: This is Judge Howard again. I'm not necessarily disagreeing with your point that drag and drop has been disclaimed. My whole point is I'm trying to understand what the difference is between the drag and drop that's been disclaimed, if it has been disclaimed, and what's left besides the fact that in drag and drop, as the name implies, you're physically or electronically in this case dragging something along and dropping it in new location which is then what eventually got incorporated in by the wherein clause.

I'm just trying to understand is there a difference between the disclaimer and the wherein clause?

MR. HENDIFAR: Yes, because the wherein clause does not necessarily mean a drag and drop. It only includes a drag. It doesn't address an issue of a drop operation.

Also, you could hypothetically, and I'd have to think about it, but you could have a gliding motion where the icon moves with you. I think the issue with the drag in terms of the nature of movement is that in other devices

when you are dragging you have to really do an effort, go really slowly, pick 1 a specific location, whereas a glide was a much more seamless effort. 2 Now, I need to think about whether you could have a gliding that also 3 has an icon moving with it or not. But the --4 JUDGE HOWARD: So I think I've now got an answer that I've been 5 trying to get to. So the difference is in the type of the effort that's being 6 7 applied, so it's something that would be visible, for example. You could --MR. HENDIFAR: One aspect is --8 JUDGE HOWARD: -- look at something and determine if it's, sort of, 9 sweeping along that's a glide and if it's a more harder, slower movement that 10 would be --11 MR. HENDIFAR: Absolutely. 12 JUDGE HOWARD: -- not a glide. So is that what you're trying to get 13 to? 14 MR. HENDIFAR: That's one aspect, absolutely. Also other aspects 15 maybe there. As Judge Ogden said there may be an aspect about the specific 16 location in a drag that you have to choose whereas a swipe or a glide is a 17 much more generic movement. But again, it comes out to Petitioners have to 18 point out what is a glide on whether drag discloses it, but that aside, Your 19 Honor is correct that the nature of the movement is distinct between the two. 20 JUDGE HOWARD: Right. And I understand Petitioners' eventual 21 burden, but if you're claiming there's a disclaimer I need to, sort of, 22 understand what the distinction, or the panel needs to understand what that 23 disclaimer is so that we could go and see whether or not Petitioner met its 24 burden. 25 MR. HENDIFAR: Thank you. 26